

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 CREEP MATERIAL MODELS

1.1	Introduction	1 - 1
1.2	Description of Creep Constitutive Models	1 - 2
1.2.1	Classical Viscoelasticity (Maxwell Substance)	1 - 2
1.2.2	Burgers Model	1 - 4
1.2.3	The Two-Component Power Law	1 - 6
1.2.4	A Reference Creep Law for Nuclear-Waste Isolation Studies	1 - 9
1.2.5	The Burgers-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 12
1.2.6	The Power-Law Viscoplastic Model	1 - 18
1.2.7	The WIPP-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 20
1.2.8	A Crushed-Salt Constitutive Model	1 - 24
1.2.8.1	Definitions	1 - 24
1.2.8.2	Constitutive Equations	1 - 26
1.2.8.3	Viscous Compaction	1 - 27
1.2.8.4	Viscous Shear	1 - 27
1.2.8.5	Implementation	1 - 27
1.3	Solving Creep Problems with <i>UDEC</i>	1 - 28
1.3.1	Introduction	1 - 28
1.3.2	Creep Timestep in <i>UDEC</i>	1 - 28
1.3.3	Automatic Adjustment of the Creep Timestep	1 - 30
1.3.4	Temperature Dependency	1 - 31
1.3.5	Modified Damping Formulation	1 - 31
1.4	Input Instructions for Creep Modeling	1 - 35
1.4.1	<i>UDEC</i> Commands	1 - 35
1.4.2	<i>FISH</i> Variable	1 - 37
1.5	Properties for Creep Constitutive Models	1 - 38
1.5.1	<u>Classical Viscoelastic</u> (Maxwell Substance) – block zone cmodel assign maxwell	1 - 38
1.5.2	<u>Burgers Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign burgers	1 - 39
1.5.3	<u>Power Law</u> – block zone cmodel assign power	1 - 40
1.5.4	<u>WIPP Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign wipp	1 - 41
1.5.5	<u>Burgers-Creep Viscoplastic Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign burger-mohr	1 - 42
1.5.6	<u>Power Law Viscoplastic Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign power-mohr	1 - 43
1.5.7	<u>WIPP-Creep Viscoplastic Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign wipp-drucker	1 - 44
1.5.8	<u>Crushed Salt Model</u> – block zone cmodel assign wipp-salt	1 - 45

1.6	Verification and Example Problems	1 - 46
1.6.1	Parallel-Plate Viscometer – Classical Model	1 - 46
1.6.2	Parallel-Plate Viscometer – WIPP Model	1 - 50
1.6.3	Cylindrical Cavity – Power Law	1 - 52
1.6.4	Cylindrical Cavity – WIPP Model	1 - 59
1.6.5	Viscoelastic Response of the Burgers-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 62
1.6.6	Viscoplastic Response of the Burgers-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 68
1.6.7	Plastic Response of the WIPP-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 75
1.6.8	Compression Test with the WIPP-Creep Viscoplastic Model	1 - 78
1.6.9	Creep Response of a Bedded Salt Formation	1 - 81
1.6.10	Compression Tests with the Crushed-Salt Model	1 - 89
	1.6.10.1 Hydrostatic Compression	1 - 89
	1.6.10.2 Shear Compression	1 - 97
1.6.11	Loaded Block – Burgers Model	1 - 103
1.7	References	1 - 107

TABLES

Table 1.1	Notation for the WIPP formulation	1 - 11
Table 1.2	Elastic, strength and creep properties of salt materials	1 - 82
Table 1.3	Elastic and strength properties of non-salt materials	1 - 82

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Schematic of the Burgers model, with definition of variables	1 - 5
Figure 1.2	y -velocity history at top of block – regular damping	1 - 33
Figure 1.3	y -velocity history at top of block – combined damping	1 - 34
Figure 1.4	Parallel-plate viscometer showing velocity streamlines (Jaeger 1969)	1 - 47
Figure 1.5	σ_{xx} -contours for parallel-plate viscometer	1 - 49
Figure 1.6	Contours of x -velocity from the <i>UDEC</i> WIPP model	1 - 52
Figure 1.7	<i>UDEC</i> grid for cylindrical cavity test	1 - 53
Figure 1.8	Radial velocity at hole edge vs time	1 - 56
Figure 1.9	History of creep timestep for cylindrical cavity test	1 - 56
Figure 1.10	Steady-state radial velocity (\dot{u}_r) vs distance from hole	1 - 57
Figure 1.11	Steady-state radial stress (σ_r) vs distance from hole	1 - 57
Figure 1.12	Steady-state hoop stress (σ_θ) vs distance from hole	1 - 58
Figure 1.13	Steady-state out-of-plane stress (σ_z) vs distance from hole	1 - 58
Figure 1.14	Comparison of radial velocity at steady state	1 - 61
Figure 1.15	Comparison of radial, hoop and out-of-plane stress at steady state	1 - 62
Figure 1.16	Comparison between analytical and numerical stress values – Maxwell cell	1 - 66
Figure 1.17	Comparison between analytical and numerical strain values – Maxwell cell	1 - 67
Figure 1.18	Comparison between analytical and numerical stress values – Kelvin cell ..	1 - 67
Figure 1.19	Comparison between analytical and numerical strain values – Kelvin cell ..	1 - 68
Figure 1.20	Experiment 1: Horizontal stress versus time for slow compression test	1 - 72
Figure 1.21	Experiment 2: Horizontal stress versus time for rapid compression test	1 - 73
Figure 1.22	Experiment 3: Creep unloading after less plastic flow	1 - 73
Figure 1.23	Experiment 4: Creep unloading after more plastic flow	1 - 74
Figure 1.24	Experiment 5: Horizontal stress versus time for several loading excursions ..	1 - 74
Figure 1.25	Contours of maximum shear strain increment for slow compression test	1 - 80
Figure 1.26	Contours of maximum shear strain increment for rapid compression test ...	1 - 80
Figure 1.27	Model stratigraphy	1 - 81
Figure 1.28	<i>UDEC</i> grid for bedded salt formation	1 - 83
Figure 1.29	Material models	1 - 84
Figure 1.30	Room closure histories: vertical convergence at two locations (vclo and vcloq); and horizontal convergence (hclo) at the room mid-height (x -axis units are years, and y -axis units are inches)	1 - 85
Figure 1.31	Numerical and analytical predictions for fractional density	1 - 90
Figure 1.32	Numerical and analytical predictions for fractional density for uniaxial compression	1 - 101
Figure 1.33	Numerical and analytical predictions for fractional density for biaxial compression	1 - 101
Figure 1.34	Histories of axial and lateral displacement for uniaxial compression	1 - 102
Figure 1.35	Histories of axial and lateral displacement for biaxial compression	1 - 102

Figure 1.36	Vertical displacement versus time, for the Burgers model	1 - 105
Figure 1.37	Vertical displacement versus time, for Maxwell section only active	1 - 106
Figure 1.38	Vertical displacement versus time, for Kelvin section only active	1 - 106

EXAMPLES

Example 1.1	Function to set Kelvin strains according to stresses	1 - 18
Example 1.2	von Mises stress invariant (“MISES.FIS”)	1 - 29
Example 1.3	Elastic block with gravity and imposed velocity at lower boundary	1 - 31
Example 1.4	Parallel plate test – classical viscosity	1 - 48
Example 1.5	Parallel plate test – WIPP model	1 - 50
Example 1.6	Cylindrical cavity – power law	1 - 54
Example 1.7	Cylindrical cavity – WIPP model	1 - 59
Example 1.8	Oedometer test on a Maxwell material – comparison with the analytic solution	1 - 63
Example 1.9	Oedometer test on a Kelvin material – comparison with the analytic solution	1 - 64
Example 1.10	Comparison of the Burgers-creep viscoplastic model and the Mohr-Coulomb model	1 - 69
Example 1.11	Comparison of the viscoplastic model and the Drucker-Prager model	1 - 75
Example 1.12	Compression test using the WIPP-creep viscoplastic model	1 - 78
Example 1.13	Creep response of a bedded salt formation	1 - 85
Example 1.14	Hydrostatic compression test using the crushed-salt model	1 - 90
Example 1.15	Unconfined and biaxial compression tests using the crushed-salt model ...	1 - 98
Example 1.16	Loaded block composed of the Burgers model material	1 - 103